



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/842,931	04/26/2001	Kazunobu Uehara	F-6961 1189		
7	7590 05/08/2003				
Jordan and Hamburg			EXAMINER		
122 East 42nd New York, NY			CASCHERA, ANTONIO A		
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	•	2697		
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/842,931	UEHARA ET AL	U
		Examiner		
	i	Antonio A Caschera	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address a	
- Exte after - If the	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a reply	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON	NTH(S) FROM	
- Failu - Any i	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	from the mailing date of this communica	tion.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a on of Claims	ince except for formal matter Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	s, prosecution as to the merit 11, 453 O.G. 213.	s is
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application	on Papers	1		
9)□ 1	he specification is objected to by the Examiner	,		
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2001</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes	accepted or b) dbjected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disar	proved by the Examiner.	
1 <u>X _</u>	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl			
12) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🛛 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[∑	〗All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applic	cation No	
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list o	ty documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eived in this National Stage	
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			rion)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has been	received.	
Attachment(
?)	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev.	* * * * * *	on Summary	Part of Paper No	2

Art Unit: 2697

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in the pending application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashida et al. (U.S. Patent 6,409,596 B1).

In reference to claims 1, 7 and 9, Hayashida et al. discloses a game device displaying a game in a virtual space (see lines 4-5 of abstract). Hayashida et al. discloses performing projection conversion of polygon coordinates in a three-dimensional space based on matrix calculations (see column 8, lines 29-36 and columns 8-9, lines 64-6). Hayashida et al. also discloses the game system forming images of three-dimensional objects in a viewpoint coordinate system (see column 8, lines 49-58). Hayashida et al. discloses a coordinate conversion unit for receiving vertex coordinates of polygons and conversion matrices data, converting the vertex coordinates of polygons using the conversion matrices data (see column 8, lines 49-58). Hayashida et al. does not explicitly disclose a plurality of conversion matrices

Art Unit: 2697

however Hayashida et al. does disclose the CPU renewing conversion matrix data after the viewpoint is switched (see column 14, lines 58-67) and therefore the office interprets the renewing of conversion matrix data to be substantially similar to the implementation of a plurality of conversion matrices as disclosed by applicant. Hayashida et al. discloses an image processing unit synthesizing converted polygon data located in a frame buffer for display on a TV monitor (see column 9, lines 7-12 and #115, 116, #6 of Figure 3). Although Hayashida et al. discloses separate storage units for storing vertex coordinates of polygons and conversion matrices data (see columns 7-8, lines 67-4 and column 8, lines 49-50) Hayashida et al. does not explicitly disclose a storage unit storing at least vertex coordinates of polygons and data conversion matrices however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to store vertex coordinates of polygons and data conversion matrices in the a single storage unit as it is a matter of design choice as preferred by the inventor. Note, in reference to claim 9, Hayashida et al. also discloses an information recording medium storing a program that executes the conversion processes (see column 4, lines 65-67).

In reference to claims 2 and 10, Hayashida et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 1 and 9 respectively in addition, Hayashida et al. discloses the geometrizer unit for fixing the coordinate system to a view coordinate system in a three-dimensional space (see column 8, lines 57-58) and performing perspective conversion of shape data using conversion matrix data sent from the CPU (see column 8, lines 54-57). Hayashida et al. does not explicitly disclose reading out a new plurality of conversion matrices however Hayashida et al. does disclose the CPU renewing conversion matrix data after the viewpoint is switched (see column 14, lines 58-67) and therefore the office interprets the renewed conversion matrix data, to be

Art Unit: 2697

different from previous matrix data because of viewpoint switching, and further read out by the geometrizer.

In reference to claims 3, 6, 11 and 14 Hayashida et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 2, 5, 10 and 13 respectively. Although Hayashida et al. discloses the CPU renewing conversion matrix data after the viewpoint is switched (see column 14, lines 58-67), Hayashida et al. does not explicitly disclose repeatedly reading out new conversion matrix data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to repeatedly read out data of perspective conversion matrices in order to update matrix conversion calculations, as matrices need to be updated as viewpoints are constantly switched.

In reference to claims 4, 8 and 12, claims 4, 8 and 12 are similar in scope to claims 1, 7 and 9 and therefore are rejected under similar rationale. Note, Hayashida et al. also discloses data busses connecting the various hardware units, in particular the shape data ROM (see #111 of Figure 3) and the conversion matrix storing RAM (see #103 of Figure 3) with the conversion unit, the geometrizer (see #110 of Figure 3). The office interprets these data lines to be substantially similar to a transfer unit allowing for the transfer of data from the storage units. Note, in reference to claim 12, Hayashida et al. also discloses an information recording medium storing a program that executes the conversion processes (see column 4, lines 65-67).

In reference to claims 5 and 13, Hayashida et al. discloses all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 4 and 12 respectively in addition, Hayashida et al. discloses the geometrizer unit for fixing the coordinate system to a view coordinate system in a three-dimensional space (see column 8, lines 57-58) and performing perspective conversion of shape data using

Art Unit: 2697

conversion matrix data sent from the CPU (see column 8, lines 54-57). Hayashida et al. does not explicitly disclose reading out a new plurality of conversion matrices however Hayashida et al. does disclose the CPU renewing conversion matrix data after the viewpoint is switched (see column 14, lines 58-67) and therefore the office interprets the renewed conversion matrix data, to be different from previous matrix data because of viewpoint switching and further read out by the geometrizer. Hayashida et al. does not explicitly disclose transferring the plurality of perspective conversion matrices different from each other after transferring the polygon coordinate data however the office interprets such an order of transferring data as a matter of design choice as preferred by the inventor.

References Cited

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - a. Morgan et al. (U.S. Patent 5,821,940)
 - Morgan et al. discloses a system for processing vertex data of polygons where matrix transformations of vertex data from vertex to world space coordinate conversion is performed.
 - b. Fujiki et al. (U.S. Patent 5,969,725)
 - Fujiki et al. discloses an image alignment apparatus where shape data,
 including vertex data, is converted from global coordinate to two-dimensional
 coordinate system via matrix calculations.

Art Unit: 2697

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Antonio Caschera whose telephone number is (703) 305-1391. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays between 7:00 AM and 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Mancuso, can be reached at (703)-305-3885.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

aac

4/16/03